In the recently published synopsis of the genus Gasteria Duval (Van Jaarsveld 1992: 12), the full page reference for the basionym of Gasteria nitida var. armstrongii (Schönland) Van Jaarsv. was not given where the new combination was made. The combination therefore appears not to have been validly published (Eggl & Taylor 1993). Under Art. 33.2 of the Botanical Code (Greuter et al. 1988), on or after 1 January 1953, a new combination is not validly published unless its basionym is clearly indicated and a full and direct reference given to its author and place of valid publication with page or plate reference and date.

However, the name has been validly published because the page reference required for validation is given in the list of references of the same paper (Van Jaarsveld 1992). The entry ‘Schonl. in Rec. Albany Mus. 1912’, on p. 12, is a perfectly acceptable, unambiguous citation of the item fully listed under ‘References’ on p. 28. This point of view is in accordance with the interpretation of Brummitt (1969: 45), who determined that the combination Erysimum arbuscula (Lowe) Snogerup was validly published by citation of the basionym reference in detail in the bibliography, but indirectly only in the text (Snogerup 1967: 9). Furthermore, the policy of the herbarium of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, regarding validly published names is in accordance with the interpretation of Brummitt (1969: 45), who determined that the combination Gasteria armstrongii (Schonland) Van Jaarsv. was validly published.

The entry ‘Schonl. in Rec. Albany Mus. 1912’, on p. 12, is a perfectly acceptable, unambiguous citation of the item fully listed under ‘References’ on p. 28. This point of view is in accordance with the interpretation of Brummitt (1969: 45), who determined that the combination Erysimum arbuscula (Lowe) Snogerup was validly published by citation of the basionym reference in detail in the bibliography, but indirectly only in the text (Snogerup 1967: 9). Furthermore, the policy of the herbarium of the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, regarding validly published names is in accordance with the interpretation of Brummitt (1969: 45), who determined that the combination Gasteria armstrongii (Schonland) Van Jaarsv. was validly published.

The full citation to the name therefore is:

Gasteria nitida var. armstrongii (Schönland) Van Jaarsv.

validly published.
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cies and expressly chose the name *A. barberae* for the southeastern African tree aloe. Unfortunately this publication was overlooked by all subsequent research workers on *Aloe*, notably Baker (1880) and Reynolds (1950). However, Baker (1885) regarded *A. bainesii* and *A. barberae* as conspecific, but incorrectly chose as the correct name *A. bainesii*. An attempt by Baker (1896) to taxonomically reinstate *A. barberae* as a variety of *A. bainesii* has received little support.

Therefore, although the name *A. bainesii* has been widely adopted by most southern African flora writers and succulentists, it has to be rejected in favour of the name *A. barberae*, which was chosen as the one to be accepted by the original author.

*Aloe barberae* Dyer in The Gardeners’ Chronicle (n.s.) 1: 568 (1874a); Dyer: 720 (1874b); Dyer: 90 (1875a); Dyer: 49 (1875b). Type: Hort., Anon. s.n. (K!).

*A. bainesii* Dyer: 568 (1874a). Baker: t. 6848 (1885); Baker: 326 (1896); Berger: 319 (1908); Marloth: 92 (1915); Sim: 152 (1919); Reynolds: 498 (1950); Jeppe: 59 (1969); Compton: 98 (1976). Type: Natal, Greytown Dist., T. Baines s.n. (K!).
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THE CORRECT AUTHOR CITATION FOR LOBOSTEMON MONTANUS

Herman (1993) cited *Lobostemon montanus* (DC.) H. Buek; however, Buek described *L. montanus* in 1837, whereas De Candolle published the combination *Echium montanum* (H. Buek) DC. in 1846. The correct author citation is therefore *L. montanus* H. Buek.
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